THE EVOLUTION OF SOFTWARE PROJECT MANAGEMENT REGARDING QUALITY AND SUCCESS

Luca Teodor MÂNDRU[1]

Andrei Radu COJOCARU[2]

Alexandra BURNICHI[3]

Costin Andrei VLAD[4]

Costin-Anton BOIANGIU[5]

Nicolae TARBĂ[6]

Abstract

This paper explores the evolution of software project management practices, focusing on the interplay between quality and project success. It highlights the shift from traditional methodologies like Waterfall to modern approaches such as Agile and hybrid frameworks, driven by the need to adapt to dynamic environments and evolving requirements. The study emphasizes the critical role of balancing quality, measured by defect rates, user satisfaction, system performance, and broader success metrics like cost, time, scope, and stakeholder satisfaction. Through historical analysis and case studies, the research identifies the limitations of linear methodologies and the advantages of iterative approaches. Agile emerges as a preferred model, demonstrating higher success rates due to its adaptability and continuous feedback cycles. Hybrid methodologies, combining the strengths of Agile and Waterfall, are increasingly adopted, particularly in large organizations seeking flexibility without sacrificing structure. Key findings suggest that success in software projects depends on selecting the right methodology, fostering collaboration, and leveraging advanced technologies. Insights from industry data underscore the correlation between effective quality practices and project success. The paper concludes by advocating for tailored,

[1] Stud., Faculty of Automatic Control and Computers, National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania, luca_teodor.mandru@acs.stud.pub.ro
[2] Stud., Faculty of Automatic Control and Computers, National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania, andrei.cojocaru0606@ acs.stud.ro
[3] Stud., Faculty of Automatic Control and Computers, National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania, alexandra.burnichi@ acs.stud.ro
[4] Stud., Faculty of Automatic Control and Computers, National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania, costin_andrei.vlad@ acs.stud.ro
[5] Prof., PhD, Eng., Faculty of Automatic Control and Computers, National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania, costin.boiangiu@cs.pub.ro
[6] PhD Stud., Eng., Faculty of Automatic Control and Computers, National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania, nicolae.tarba@upb.ro

hybrid approaches that balance predictability with responsiveness, enabling organizations to meet technical and business objectives in increasingly complex environments.

Keywords: Software Project Management, Agile Methodologies, Hybrid Frameworks, Waterfall Model, Quality Assurance in Software, DevOps and Continuous Integration, Artificial Intelligence in Project Management, Stakeholder Engagement

JEL Codes: L86, M15, O31, O32, M54, M53

1. Introduction

In the era of technology, effective management of software projects has become essential for achieving successful outcomes. An increasing number of companies are expressing interest in this field as they strive to deliver software solutions that meet both user expectations and business objectives.

1.1 Importance of quality and success in software project management

Maintaining a balance between quality and success is crucial in determining whether the software project achieves its intended goals. Quality refers to the degree to which a software product meets the requirements, satisfies user needs, and is free from defects. Success, on the other hand, is broader, encompassing not only the final product's quality but also factors such as time, cost, and stakeholder satisfaction. A project might be considered a failure if it exceeds its budget, misses deadlines, or fails to meet business objectives, even if the software itself is of high quality.

2. Fundamentals of Software Project Management

2.1 Definition and Basic Elements of Project Management

Project management is the discipline of planning [1], organizing, securing, managing, leading, and controlling resources to achieve specific goals within a defined timeline and budget. In the context of software development, project management involves balancing the complexities of time, scope, cost, and quality. Key elements of project management include the project lifecycle (initiation, planning, execution, monitoring, and closure), resource management, risk management, stakeholder management, and the use of various methodologies like Agile, Waterfall, or hybrid approaches.

The Agile methodology, for instance, emphasizes iterative progress through short cycles known as sprints, allowing teams to respond quickly to changes and maintain flexibility in meeting client needs. This contrasts with Waterfall, a more traditional linear approach, where each phase must be completed before the next begins. According to Boldare [1], Agile methodologies show high success rates in software project management due to their flexibility and iterative feedback loops. Ciupe et al. [2] showed that agile methodologies can also be applied to other domains such as higher education, thus showcasing their flexibility.

2.2 Evolution of Software Project Management Practices

Software project management has evolved significantly over the past decades. Traditional project management models, such as Waterfall, were initially dominant. These models, however, often struggled with rapidly changing requirements, poor communication, and a lack of flexibility. In response, Agile methodologies emerged in the early 2000s, advocating for smaller, iterative development cycles that are more adaptable to change.

The shift toward Agile is marked by the Agile Manifesto, which emphasizes collaboration, adaptability, and customer-centric development. In comparison, the Agile vs. Waterfall [3] debate reveals that Agile's flexible and iterative nature tends to lead to higher project success rates. Quixy, a software company that automates business processes, found that Agile projects have a 64% success rate [4], compared to a mere 49% for Waterfall projects. Agile's success lies in its ability to respond to feedback early and continuously, reducing the risk of project failure.

The use of Agile, alongside various project management tools and software, has increased over the years, making it easier for teams to coordinate, track progress, and adjust strategies as needed. Visual planning tools, for instance, have grown in popularity, offering dashboards that provide real-time updates on project progress, helping teams stay aligned and on track.

2.3 Defining and Measuring Project Success and Quality

Defining and measuring success in software project management requires understanding both the technical and business aspects of a project. Success can be measured in terms of whether the project is completed on time, within budget, and whether it meets the specified quality standards. However, success also extends to how well the software fulfills the client's needs and whether the team can maintain a sustainable and effective process.

Key performance indicators (KPIs) [5] commonly used in measuring project success include customer satisfaction, meeting deadlines, staying within budget, and achieving the

desired quality of the product. Quality in software development is typically measured using defect rates, user feedback, and system performance.

In terms of Agile, success is often measured by the frequency and quality of user feedback, as continuous feedback helps improve the software in each iteration. The shift to Agile practices has allowed for more dynamic and responsive project management, with 71% of Agile projects reported to have improved their quality over time, as highlighted by statistics from Zippia [6]. Furthermore, Agile encourages continuous improvement, helping teams refine their processes and deliver higher-quality outputs with each cycle.

Moreover, project quality can also be gauged through statistical insights, such as those provided by Visual Planning [7], where the application of proper planning and tracking systems significantly impacts the quality and timeliness of software deliveries.

To sum up, the evolution of software project management practices highlights the importance of choosing the right methodology, with Agile emerging as a preferred choice due to its adaptability, higher success rates, and focus on continuous quality improvement. Monitoring key metrics and using effective tools play a crucial role in ensuring that projects are completed successfully while meeting both the technical and business objectives.

3. Historical Perspectives on Software Project Management

3.1 Early Approaches and Methodologies: Limitations and Advantages

In the early stages of software project management, the focus was predominantly on linear, sequential methodologies. One of the earliest and most influential frameworks was the Waterfall methodology. This approach emphasized a structured, step-by-step process, where each phase—requirements gathering, design, development, testing, and deployment—was completed before moving to the next phase. The Waterfall model was based on the idea that the entire project could be planned upfront, making it easier to manage and track progress.

However, Waterfall had its limitations, particularly in dealing with changing requirements. Software projects often evolve and face unexpected challenges, but Waterfall's rigid structure made it difficult to incorporate changes once a phase was completed.

As noted in the PMI Talent Gap Report 2021 by the Project Management Institute (PMI), one of the key challenges identified in traditional project management methodologies is the difficulty in adjusting to shifting requirements during the project lifecycle, which can lead to delays and inefficiencies PMI Talent Gap Report [8]. Despite these limitations, Waterfall did offer some advantages, such as clear documentation and milestones, which made tracking progress straightforward. This approach worked best in situations where the

project requirements were well understood from the outset, and the project scope was unlikely to change significantly.

The evolution of software project management saw the rise of more adaptive approaches, especially with the advent of Agile methodologies. Agile broke away from the linear structure of Waterfall and embraced flexibility, emphasizing iterative development with short, incremental cycles (sprints). Agile was designed to accommodate changes more effectively and deliver software in smaller, functional pieces. This flexibility has contributed to Agile's growing popularity in the software industry, as evidenced by surveys such as the KPMG PMI Project Management Survey 2022, which shows that Agile methodologies are becoming the norm for many organizations aiming to improve their project success rates KPMG PMI Survey [9].

3.2 Case Studies and Relevant Examples

Several high-profile case studies and industry reports have driven the shift from traditional methods like Waterfall to Agile. One notable example is Broadcom's adoption of Agile, as detailed in their report, which quantifies the impact of Agile methodologies on software development productivity. Broadcom saw significant improvements in project delivery times and a reduction in defects, highlighting Agile's strengths in iterative development and faster feedback loops in Broadcom Software [5].

Another case study involves Deloitte's application of Agile in government projects, where Agile was implemented in public sector software projects to enhance collaboration and speed of delivery. As per Deloitte's insights, Agile approaches allowed government agencies to provide better services to the public by being more responsive to changing requirements and accelerating delivery timelines Deloitte [10].

Additionally, data from KPMG's PMI Project Management Survey 2022 reveals that Agile project management has increasingly been adopted by organizations looking to tackle the complexities of modern software development. The survey highlights that 79% of organizations have adopted Agile practices, and many have seen improved success rates in managing projects effectively, reflecting a broader trend in the industry where Agile methodologies have become the gold standard for managing dynamic and fast-paced software projects KPMG PMI Survey [9].

The Agile vs. Waterfall debate continues to be relevant in modern software development. Reports like those from Agile Genesis provide valuable insights into the ongoing comparison of Agile and Waterfall, with Agile showing superior success rates in terms of project flexibility and stakeholder satisfaction. According to statistics in Agile Genesis [3], Agile projects have a 64% success rate, compared to only 49% for Waterfall projects, further validating the shift towards more adaptive and responsive project management strategies in the software industry.

4. Dimensions of Success in Software Project Management

4.1 Traditional Metrics: Time, Cost, Scope

The traditional 'iron triangle' of project management—time, cost, and scope—remains a fundamental framework for assessing project success. According to insights from the Project Management Institute (PMI), these dimensions continue to define the foundational principles of effective project delivery, particularly in industries where stability and predictability are essential, such as construction and manufacturing.

Time is a critical factor, as projects must adhere to strict deadlines to ensure timely delivery. Cost management is equally important, requiring financial discipline to stay within allocated budgets. Scope, meanwhile, ensures that the project meets all agreed-upon deliverables. Despite the increasing adoption of more adaptive methodologies, these traditional metrics still provide a reliable benchmark for project success, particularly as organizations grapple with the growing global demand for skilled project professionals [11].

4.2 Expanded Metrics: Stakeholder Satisfaction and Business Value

Modern project management practices have expanded the definition of success to include stakeholder satisfaction and business value. As noted by KPMG in their MPS Survey (2022), organizations with mature project management processes are increasingly shifting their focus toward these broader metrics to ensure long-term success.

Stakeholder satisfaction reflects how effectively a project meets or exceeds the expectations of its stakeholders, fostering stronger relationships and trust. Business value, on the other hand, measures how well the project aligns with and supports the organization’s strategic goals. According to KPMG, organizations that adopt these metrics experience higher levels of success, delivering projects that not only meet immediate goals but also drive sustained value for the organization [9].

5. Key Factors Influencing Quality in Software Projects

5.1 Tools and Technologies

High-quality software projects often rely on advanced tools and technologies to streamline processes and improve outcomes. The Broadcom Agile Impact Report underscores the importance of adopting innovative tools, such as automated testing frameworks and

integrated development environments, in enhancing team efficiency and reducing errors [5].

5.2 DevOps and Continuous Integration

According to Visual-Planning, integrating DevOps practices and continuous integration (CI) frameworks is essential for fostering collaboration and improving quality assurance. Teams that adopt CI tools benefit from faster development cycles and significantly fewer post-deployment issues, as these tools allow for early detection and resolution of potential problems [7].

5.3 Process Standards and Frameworks

Adhering to established standards, such as ISO, or adopting structured methodologies like CMMI plays a critical role in maintaining consistent quality. PMI and Deloitte emphasize that these frameworks are particularly valuable for scaling projects in regulated industries, where quality control is paramount [8] [10].

5.4 Effects of Scaling on Teams and Management

As noted by Agile Genesis, scaling agile teams introduces challenges related to communication and maintaining quality. While small agile teams often achieve high success rates, scaling requires frameworks like SAFe and LeSS to ensure alignment and consistency. Without proper management, scaling efforts can lead to diminishing returns, underscoring the need for structured approaches to growth [3][12].

6. Challenges in Balancing Quality and Success

6.1 Trade-offs Between Speed and Quality

Balancing the need for speed with quality assurance is a common challenge in dynamic industries. According to Hygger, many companies successfully address this by employing techniques like minimum viable products (MVPs), which allow for faster market delivery while managing expectations for feature completeness. This approach has been shown to enhance customer retention rates and improve responsiveness to market demands [13].

6.2 Risk Management in Dynamic Environments

Knowledge Hut highlights that proactive risk management is crucial in environments characterized by frequent changes. Agile methodologies, with their iterative and incremental cycles, enable teams to identify and address risks early, reducing unforeseen challenges by up to 40% compared to traditional approaches. This proactive approach ensures greater project resilience and adaptability [14].

7. Hybrid and Adaptive Methodologies

7.1. Waterfall-Agile and Scrumfall: Their Definition and Applicability

In project management, professionals aim to forecast progress, identify potential challenges, and design processes that will guide the achievement of project objectives. As they gain experience, project managers refine their expertise in selecting and tailoring methodologies to ensure timely, within-scope, and budget-compliant project delivery. Over time, this ongoing learning has led to the development of hybrid project management approaches, which combine elements of multiple methodologies to create more adaptable frameworks. This paper will explore the various project management methodologies, demonstrate how they can be integrated into hybrid models, and explain the benefits of considering such an approach for your next project.

Types of Project Management Methodologies

Waterfall Method

The Waterfall model is a linear and sequential approach to project management, where project phases are completed in a fixed, predetermined order. This method is widely used in industries requiring detailed upfront planning and stable project requirements, such as construction or manufacturing. It allows for clear documentation, resource allocation, and budget monitoring, making it a reliable choice for projects with minimal changes. However, the inflexibility of the Waterfall approach may be unsuitable for projects with evolving or unclear requirements, necessitating the use of more adaptive methodologies such as Agile or hybrid approaches [15].

Agile Method

Agile project management emphasizes flexibility, rapid iterations, and continuous stakeholder feedback. By breaking projects into smaller, manageable components or sprints, Agile allows teams to adapt to shifting requirements while maintaining focus on

delivering value. This approach fosters collaboration and cross-functional teamwork, which helps ensure that projects stay aligned with client expectations. Agile is especially effective in environments with frequent changes and where quick delivery of functional elements is prioritized [16].

Scrum Framework

Scrum is a popular Agile methodology, particularly in software development, that focuses on iterative progress through predefined roles and regular cycles known as sprints. Unlike traditional Agile, which may release deliverables only at the end of an iteration, Scrum allows for incremental launches, ensuring quicker feedback and adaptation. The Scrum framework is highly suited to projects where rapid development and market responsiveness are essential. This method enables teams to address emerging challenges more swiftly by delivering partial but valuable components early and regularly.

Each of these methodologies offers unique advantages and can be adapted or blended based on project needs and the environment. As project requirements evolve, hybrid approaches are increasingly favored for their ability to combine the strengths of multiple frameworks to deliver better outcomes. [17]

7.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Hybrid Methodologies

Hybrid project management blends elements from both Waterfall and Agile methodologies, allowing for a tailored, adaptable approach to project execution. This method provides several benefits while also requiring careful consideration of several factors to ensure its effectiveness in a given project context. [18]

The hybrid project management approach, while offering significant advantages, also presents several challenges that project managers must navigate to ensure success. One of the primary difficulties is the complexity of combining methodologies like Agile and Waterfall, which have very different processes and tools. The integration of these approaches requires a deep understanding of both frameworks to balance their distinct characteristics effectively. Flexibility is another crucial aspect of the hybrid approach, but it can also become a pitfall. Successful implementation requires all team members and stakeholders to be ready for changes in plans, which can be difficult if they are not accustomed to this level of adaptability. This means that both teams and stakeholders must be educated and prepared for potential adjustments throughout the project lifecycle. If stakeholders are unfamiliar with the hybrid process, it can create confusion and hinder progress.

Moreover, there is often a clash between the structured, rigid processes of Waterfall and the flexible, iterative nature of Agile. These contrasting mindsets can cause friction within the team and disrupt workflow if not managed properly. The hybrid approach also demands

high levels of communication and collaboration, especially when teams with different working styles need to come together. This requires developing clear systems for communication, reporting, and feedback to ensure everyone remains aligned with the project goals.

Finding the right balance between control and autonomy is another ongoing challenge. While Waterfall emphasizes control, Agile encourages autonomy, and integrating these two aspects can be difficult. Resistance to change is another hurdle that teams must overcome, particularly when individuals are used to a more traditional approach or are unfamiliar with Agile methods. Managing this resistance and fostering a culture open to change is essential for a successful hybrid project. [18] [19]

7.3 Use Cases for Hybrid Approaches

Hybrid project management methodologies have become increasingly popular across industries, particularly in large and medium-sized organizations seeking adaptable approaches for managing complex projects. According to the State of Agile report, nearly half of large companies (49%) and medium-sized companies (45%) now adopt hybrid project management models, incorporating a combination of methodologies such as Agile, DevOps, Waterfall, Lean, Spiral, and iterative approaches. This shift allows organizations to tailor their software development life cycle (SDLC) to meet the specific demands of each project.

The hybrid approach is particularly effective when combining the strengths of Agile and Waterfall methodologies. Agile is well-suited for complex and uncertain projects that demand flexibility, enabling teams to respond quickly to changes and evolving requirements. Waterfall, on the other hand, works best for projects with well-defined requirements and clear processes, where a structured, linear approach is necessary. However, implementing Agile in large organizations can be challenging, as deeply ingrained traditional processes often create barriers. The larger the organization, the harder it becomes for Agile teams to operate effectively within a rigid framework. To make Agile work, organizations must grant teams a level of autonomy and ensure that team members are open to more flexible ways of working.

In such cases, a hybrid approach offers a solution, allowing organizations to retain their existing processes while benefiting from the flexibility of Agile. By combining the structure of Waterfall with the adaptability of Agile, hybrid models can help organizations optimize project outcomes, even in large-scale environments with established processes. This approach is especially beneficial for projects with tight deadlines, where delivering a functional product quickly is crucial. The hybrid model ensures that projects stay on track and that products are delivered on time, without sacrificing quality or functionality.

For example, consider a website launch project. The planning phase might begin with the Waterfall methodology, where comprehensive research, strategy development, and client requirements gathering are conducted. This phase provides a solid foundation for the project. Following this, the UX phase is handled using Waterfall processes to ensure that stakeholder feedback is incorporated before moving forward.

As the project progresses to the UI phase, the approach shifts to Agile. In this phase, iterative sprints allow for continuous feedback and quick adjustments. Once a few UI pages are approved by stakeholders, they move into development and testing, also managed through Agile sprints. This iterative process ensures continuous progress, with each phase overlapping and evolving based on feedback from the previous stage.

Another example can be seen in large-scale organizations with rigid processes. In such cases, the hybrid approach allows for the integration of Agile's iterative development with Waterfall's detailed planning, offering a balance of predictability and flexibility. This hybrid method helps address dynamic requirements and evolving scopes, enabling organizations to maintain structured planning while remaining responsive to feedback. In both examples, the hybrid approach optimizes project management by ensuring that the project is both well-planned and adaptable, ultimately delivering timely results without compromising quality. [17] [20] [21]

8. Impact of Modern Technologies and AI

8.1 Use of Artificial Intelligence in Estimating and Planning

In the last couple of years, the use of Artificial Intelligence across all fields has increased significantly. Software project management is a task concerned with delivering software on a constrained budget and a limited timeframe, so it is not surprising that AI has been employed to try and solve some of the challenges associated with software development.

A key aspect when managing any project, especially a software-based one, is planning. As Liyan Song and Leandro L.Minku [22] state in their paper, software project scheduling (SPS) involves organizing and allocating tasks, estimating effort, and determining employee resources while considering dependencies and constraints. Given the complexities involved, including skill requirements, task dependencies, and the need to balance costs and durations, manually creating an optimal schedule can be a daunting task.

As such, AI approaches can assist project managers by automating the allocation of resources and optimizing schedules based on predefined objectives, such as minimizing cost or duration.

8.2 Trends in Software Management Practices

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly being employed in Agile project management to enhance efficiency and decision-making processes. By automating repetitive tasks, such as effort estimation and risk prediction [23], AI enables project teams to focus on higher-value activities, leveraging project analytics to streamline decision-making frameworks. Furthermore, AI-powered tools are transforming risk management by providing automated assessment and prioritization mechanisms, effectively addressing the limitations of traditional manual approaches that rely heavily on individual expertise [23].

Recent data from Project.co, a company behind a project management software with the same name, indicates that the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into project management is becoming increasingly prevalent [7], with 45% of professionals incorporating AI into their workflows. Among these users, 35% report consistent utilization, while 64% engage with AI tools intermittently. The primary applications of AI in this domain include idea generation, content creation, task automation, and decision-making support (45%). Despite these advancements, a significant portion(55%) have yet to adopt AI, primarily due to a lack of awareness or understanding.

Looking forward, there is a strong inclination towards AI adoption, with most respondents anticipating its future use in project management, and predicting a substantial impact on the industry. Notably, 84% of current AI users have experienced enhanced project efficiency [24], underscoring AI's potential to revolutionize project management practices.

Early adopters of AI in managing software projects, according to Elizabeth Harrin [25], have observed substantial benefits, with 41% reporting significant enhancements in project delivery and 28% acknowledging that AI augments their skill sets, particularly in methodology and life-cycle management. These trends underscore AI's transformative potential in automating routine tasks, enhancing decision-making, and improving overall project outcomes.

9. Quality Practices and Case studies in Software Project Management

9.1 Correlation Between Quality Practices and Project Success

The correlation between quality management practices and project success in software project management has been extensively studied, revealing a significant positive relationship [26]. Implementing a comprehensive quality management plan, which includes systematic planning, assurance, and control measures, is associated with higher project

success rates. This correlation underscores the importance of integrating quality management into project workflows to enhance outcomes.

Additionally, a comprehensive correlation analysis between critical success factors (CSFs) [27] and project success indicates positive associations between the majority of these factors and successful project outcomes. This finding emphasizes the importance of identifying and managing CSFs to improve the likelihood of project success.

9.2 Insights from Industry Case Studies

The study conducted by Broadcom [5], as detailed in their publication "The Impact of Agile," provides a comprehensive analysis of statistics and trends in software project management, with a focus on Agile methodologies. Using anonymized data from over 160,000 projects and 50,000 Agile teams, the research highlights the Software Development Performance Index (SDPI), which evaluates projects across four key dimensions: Quality, Productivity, Predictability, and Responsiveness. The study demonstrates significant correlations between specific Agile practices and improved project outcomes.

For instance, teams with high stability (where team members remain consistent across quarters) exhibited up to 60% better productivity and responsiveness. Similarly, dedicating team members to a single project yielded nearly double the throughput compared to less focused teams. Another critical finding is the impact of work-in-process (WiP) control. Teams with low WiP achieved four times better quality outcomes, though excessive reduction in WiP could negatively affect productivity. Furthermore, the adoption of specific Agile methodologies, such as Full Scrum and Lightweight Scrum, was associated with higher performance, with Full Scrum delivering up to 250% better quality outcomes. Interestingly, two-week iterations were found to balance performance across all dimensions, outperforming both shorter and longer iterations.

Another case study involving 21 IT professionals [28], conducted within a large North American financial institution, reveals critical insights into the determinants of software project success and failure. A key finding is that customer and user involvement throughout the project lifecycle is paramount, with higher levels of engagement strongly correlating with improved perceptions of success by both management and developers. Developers identified additional critical success factors, such as a well-defined project scope and realistic customer expectations, emphasizing their importance in maintaining project clarity and feasibility.

Conversely, projects that lacked a committed sponsor at the outset or experienced sponsor disengagement during development exhibited significantly reduced success rates, particularly from the developers' perspective. The research also highlights the detrimental impact of incomplete or poorly defined requirements at project initiation, which developers perceive as a major obstacle to effective execution. These findings underscore the necessity

of early-stage risk identification, robust management support, and active stakeholder collaboration to enhance software project success rates and address disparities in stakeholder perceptions of project outcomes.

10. Conclusions and Perspectives

Hybrid project management methodologies are increasingly being adopted across industries, particularly by larger organizations seeking to enhance their ability to manage complex and dynamic projects. The hybrid model effectively merges the predictability of traditional methodologies, such as Waterfall, with the adaptability of Agile, creating a balanced framework that can be tailored to meet the specific demands of each project.

As highlighted in the *State of Agile* report. [16], a significant proportion of large and medium-sized companies (nearly half) have integrated hybrid approaches into their operations, recognizing the value of customizing their project management practices to align with the unique needs of their projects terms of effectiveness, hybrid methodologies are particularly advantageous for projects characterized by evolving requirements. For instance, a project that begins with a clear, well-defined scope (typical of the Waterfall approach) can transition into iterative, Agile-driven phases as the project progresses. This transition allows for the flexibility needed to respond to changing conditions, providing ongoing adaptation in the development process (Morris, 2023). Such adaptability is crucial for projects in environments where rapid change is the norm and immediate feedback is necessary. By combining structured planning in the initial stages with iterative development through Agile sprints, organizations can strike a balance between control and flexibility, optimizing project performance and improving stakeholder satisfaction.

The ease of implementing hybrid methodologies, however, varies significantly depending on an organization’s size, culture, and existing project management practices. In large organizations, the integration of Agile practices can present significant challenges due to deeply ingrained traditional processes. As organizations scale, the barriers to adopting Agile grow, often making it difficult to incorporate its flexible, iterative nature within established, rigid frameworks. In such contexts, hybrid methodologies provide an effective solution by enabling teams to retain control over processes while also benefiting from Agile's inherent flexibility. Successful implementation of hybrid approaches generally requires organizational buy-in, training, and a shift in mindset to embrace autonomy and iterative workflows. [29]

When correctly implemented, the impact of hybrid methodologies on project outcomes is overwhelmingly positive. These methodologies provide the dual advantage of ensuring timely delivery without compromising functionality or quality. One of the key strengths of hybrid approaches is their ability to manage both short-term project objectives and long-

term goals. For instance, in large-scale projects with tight deadlines, such as product launches, the hybrid model ensures rapid movement through the different phases (Waterfall for initial planning and Agile for subsequent development and testing) thereby reducing the overall project timeline while maintaining high standards for the final product. In conclusion, hybrid project management methodologies offer a highly adaptable, structured, and efficient approach to managing complex projects. While they are highly effective, particularly in environments that require flexibility, their implementation can be challenging in large organizations with rigid systems. Success with hybrid methodologies is largely dependent on the level of team autonomy, organizational culture, and the willingness to adapt existing project structures. When applied effectively, however, hybrid methodologies can significantly enhance project outcomes by combining the predictability of Waterfall with the iterative, responsive characteristics of Agile, enabling organizations to deliver successful projects in dynamic environments.

Acknowledgment

We recognize the contribution of ChatGPT in refining the linguistic and academic quality of this paper, as well as in supporting reference organization.

References

[1] Kasia Kowalska, “The great dilemma. Agile or waterfall?”, Boldare, 2019, Retrieved from https://www.boldare.com/blog/agile-vs-waterfall, Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[2] Ciupe, A., Ionescu, R., Meza, S., & Orza, B. (2018). Towards agile integration within higher education: A systematic assessment. BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience, 9(3), 69-87.

[3] Ernesto Custodio, “Agile vs. Waterfall: Comparing Success Rates in Project Management”, AgileGenesis, Retrieved from https://www.agilegenesis.com/post/agile-vs-waterfall-comparing-success-rates-in-project-management Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[4] Quixy, “Important Project Management Statistics for 2025 and beyond”, Retrieved from https://quixy.com/blog/important-project-management-statistics/ Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[5] Broadcom. (n.d.). *The impact of Agile quantified.* Retrieved from https://docs.broadcom.com/doc/the-impact-of-agile-quantified Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[6] Zippia. (n.d.). *Agile statistics.* Retrieved from https://www.zippia.com/advice/agile-statistics/ Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[7] Visual Planning. (n.d.). *Project management statistics.* Retrieved from https://www.visual-planning.com/en/blog/project-management-statistics Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[8] Project Management Institute. (2021). *Talent Gap Report 2021.* Retrieved from https://www.pmi.org/-/media/pmi/documents/public/pdf/learning/career-central/talent-gap-report-2021-finalfinal.pdf Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[9] Deloitte. (n.d.). *Agile in government.* Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/us/articles/3897_Agile-in-government/DUP_Agile-in-Government-series.pdf Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[10] KPMG. (2022). *PMI project management survey 2022.* Retrieved from https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/cy/pdf/2023/kpmg_pmi_project_management_survey_2022.pdf Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[11] Thesing, T., Feldmann, C., & Burchardt, M. (2021). Agile versus waterfall project management: decision model for selecting the appropriate approach to a project. Procedia Computer Science, 181, 746-756.

[12] Johnivan J.R. (2024). *Agile vs Waterfall Methodology: Differences & How to Choose.* Project Management Articles, Retrieved from https://project-management.com/agile-vs-waterfall/ Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[13] Hygger. (n.d.). *Why Agile is so popular in project management.* Retrieved from https://hygger.io/blog/why-agile-is-so-popular-in-project-management/ Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[14] KnowledgeHut. (n.d.). *State of Agile.* Retrieved from https://www.knowledgehut.com/blog/agile/state-of-agile Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[15] Garousi, V., Tarhan, A., Pfahl, D., Coşkunçay, A., & Demirörs, O. (2019). Correlation of critical success factors with success of software projects: an empirical investigation. Software Quality Journal, 27, 429-493.

[16] StarAgile. (n.d.). *State of Agile 2024: Insights, trends, and key findings.* Retrieved from https://staragile.com/blog/state-of-agile Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[17] Float. (n.d.). *Hybrid project management.* Retrieved from https://www.float.com/resources/hybrid-project-management Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[18] Simplilearn. (n.d.). *What is hybrid project management?* Retrieved from https://www.simplilearn.com/what-is-hybrid-project-management-article Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[19] TeamGantt. (n.d.). *Hybrid approach to project management.* Retrieved from https://www.teamgantt.com/waterfall-agile-guide/hybrid-approach Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[20] Business Map. (n.d.). *Hybrid project management.* Retrieved from https://businessmap.io/blog/hybrid-project-management Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[21] Bernsen, R. (2022, April 25). *What is hybrid project management?* OpenProject. Retrieved from https://www.openproject.org/blog/what-is-hybrid-project-management/ Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[22] Project.co, "The Use of AI in Project Management Statistics 2024",2024, Retrieved from https://www.project.co/ai-statistics/ Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[23] Song, L., & Minku, L. L. (2023). Artificial intelligence in software project management. In Optimising the software development process with artificial intelligence (pp. 19-65). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

[24] Project Management Institute. (2023). *Global project management job trends 2023*. Retrieved from https://www.pmi.org/learning/careers/global-job-trends-2023 Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[25] Crawford, T., Duong, S., Fueston, R., Lawani, A., Owoade, S., Uzoka, A., ... & Yazdinejad, A. (2023). AI in software engineering: a survey on project management applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15224.

[26] Procaccino, J. D., Verner, J. M., Overmyer, S. P., & Darter, M. E. (2002). Case study: factors for early prediction of software development success. Information and software technology, 44(1), 53-62.

[27] Procaccino, J. D., & Verner, J. M. (2006). Software project managers and project success: An exploratory study. Journal of Systems and Software, 79(11), 1541-1551.

[28] Elizabeth Harrin, "57 AI in Project Management Statistics", RGPM, Retrieved from https://rebelsguidetopm.com/ai-in-project-management-statistics/ Last Accessed at: 13.03.2025

[29] Abbas, J. (2016). Quintessence of traditional and agile requirement engineering. Journal of Software Engineering and Applications, 9(3), 63-70.

Bibliography

AGRAWAL A., SINGH V., FISCHER M. *LeanAI: A method for AEC practitioners to effectively plan AI implementations*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.16799. ISSN 2331-8422. 2023

BENTO S., PEREIRA L., GONÇALVES R., DIAS Á., COSTA R. L. D. *Artificial intelligence in project management: systematic literature review*. International Journal of Technology Intelligence and Planning, ISSN 1740-2840, 143-163. 2022

COBB C. G. *The project manager's guide to mastering Agile: Principles and practices for an adaptive approach*. John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 9781118085950. 2011

COBB C. G. *Making sense of agile project management: balancing control and agility*. John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 9781118085950. 2011

COBB C. G. *From quality to business excellence*. Quality Press. ISBN 9781118085950. 2002

DEVARAJ S., VAIDYANATHAN G., MISHRA A. N. *Effect of purchase volume flexibility and purchase mix flexibility on e-procurement performance: An analysis of two perspectives*. Journal of Operations Management, ISSN 0272-6963, 509-520. 2012

FAIR J. *Agile versus Waterfall: approach is right for my ERP project?* Paper presented at PMI® Global Congress 2012—EMEA, Marsailles, France. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute. 2012

FOSSO WAMBA S., QUEIROZ M. M., GUTHRIE C., BRAGANZA A. *Industry experiences of artificial intelligence (AI): benefits and challenges in operations and supply chain management*. Production planning & control, ISSN 1366-5871, 1493-1497. 2022

MISHRA A. N., DEVARAJ S., VAIDYANATHAN G. *Capability hierarchy in electronic procurement and procurement process performance: An empirical analysis*. Journal of Operations Management, ISSN 0272-6963, 376-390. 2013

MEZIANE F., VADERA S. *Artificial intelligence in software engineering: current developments and future prospects*. Artificial intelligence applications for improved software engineering development: New prospects, ISBN 9781605667584, 278-299. 2010

MÜLLER R., LOCATELLI G., HOLZMANN V., NILSSON M., SAGAY T. *Artificial intelligence and project management: Empirical overview, state of the art, and guidelines for future research*. Project Management Journal, ISSN 8756-9728, 9-15. 2024

QUEIROZ M. M., WAMBA S. F. *Blockchain adoption challenges in supply chain: An empirical investigation of the main drivers in India and the USA*. International Journal of Information Management, ISSN 0268-4012, 70-82. 2019

RUSSELL R. S., TAYLOR B. W. *Operations and supply chain management*. John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 978-1-119-57765-2. 2019

SANDERS N. R., WOOD J. D. *The Humachine: Humankind, machines, and the future of Enterprise*. Routledge. ISBN 9781138571341. 2019

SANDERS N. R., BOONE T., GANESHAN R., WOOD J. D. *Sustainable supply chains in the age of AI and digitization: research challenges and opportunities*. Journal of Business logistics, ISSN 0735-3766, 229-240. 2019

SAVIO R. D., ALI J. M. *Artificial intelligence in project management & its future*. Saudi Journal of Engineering and Technology, ISSN 2415-6264, 244-248. 2023

SHAYDULIN R., SYBRANDT J. *To agile, or not to agile: A comparison of software development methodologies*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.07469. ISSN 2331-8422. 2017

VAIDYANATHAN G. *Project management: process, technology, and practice*. Pearson Higher Ed. 2013

VAIDYANATHAN G., DEVARAJ S., D'ARCY J. *Does security impact e-procurement performance? Testing a model of direct and moderated effects*. Decision Sciences, ISSN 1540-5915, 437-458. 2012

VAIDYANATHAN G., MAUTONE S. *Security in dynamic web content management systems applications*. Communications of the ACM, ISSN 1557-7317, 121-125. 2009

VAIDYANATHAN G., DEVARAJ S. *The role of quality in e-procurement performance: An empirical analysis*. Journal of Operations Management, ISSN 1873-1317, 407-425. 2008

VAIDYANATHAN G. *A framework for evaluating third-party logistics*. Communications of the ACM, ISSN 1557-7317, 89-94. 2005

VAIDYANATHAN G., DEVARAJ S. *A five-factor framework for analyzing online risks in e-businesses*. Communications of the ACM, ISSN 1557-7317, 354-361. 2003

VEIGA A. P. *Project success in Agile development projects*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.06851. ISSN 2331-8422. 2017

WAMBA S. F. *Impact of artificial intelligence assimilation on firm performance: The mediating effects of organizational agility and customer agility*. International Journal of Information Management, ISSN 1873-4707. 2022

WAMBA S. F., AKTER S., EDWARDS A., CHOPIN G., & GNANZOU D. *How 'big data' can make big impact: Findings from a systematic review and a longitudinal case study*. International journal of production economics, ISSN 1873-7579, 234-246. 2015

WAMBA S. F., GUNASEKARAN A., AKTER S., REN S. J. F., DUBEY R., & CHILDE S. J. *Big data analytics and firm performance: Effects of dynamic capabilities*. Journal of business research, ISSN 1873-7978, 356-365. 2017